**iJAG Service Learning (Cooperative Learning) Rubric – Peer Evaluation – FINAL**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Category | 5-SUPERIOR | 4-ABOVE AVERAGE | 3-AVERAGE | 2-BELOW AVERAGE | 1-WEAK |
| Contribution to Project Goals **(CPG)** | Consistently worked toward project goal, took on leadership role, willingly accepted responsibilities, contributed greatly to success of project, kept team on task, did more than their fair share to contribute to overall success of project | Worked toward project goal, took on leadership role sporadically, contributed to success of project, no intervention from teacher, did their fair share to contribute to success of project | Worked toward project goal with occasional intervention from teacher, accepted responsibilities as given, completed what they had to for the project | Required prompting to remain on task from teacher often, neglected responsibilities, contributed very little to overall success of project | Made no effort to complete project goal, required constant intervention from teacher, accepted no responsibilities of goal, made success of project harder to achieve, off task |
| Consideration of Others **(COO)** | Consistently helped others as needed, positive attitude & showed sensitivity to the feelings & learning needs of others | Helped others as needed, good attitude, considerate of others needs | Helped others as needed when prompted, good attitude, has to be reminded to be considerate of others | Required prompting to help others, attitude was mostly negative, inconsiderate of feelings and learning needs of others | Made no effort to help others, negative & showed no sensitivity to the feelings & learning needs of others |
| Working with Others **(WWO)** | Worked harmoniously with other team members, initiated discussions at table, valuable member of team, excellent communication for age group, encouraging, cooperative, & supportive, positive behavior choices for setting | Worked well with other team members, participated in discussions at table, valuable member of team, effective communication for age group, cooperative with team, positive behavior choices | Worked ok with other team members, required some prompting to engage in discussions at table, had to be reminded of proper communication around age group | Had issues with some team members, required a lot of redirection to stay on topic during discussions at table, inappropriate communication & behavior choices for setting | Could not get along with any team members, required constant redirection and reminding of what was appropriate communication and behavior choices for the setting and the project goal, was almost removed from participating in project |